

**Reliability Standard Audit Worksheet[[1]](#footnote-1)**

# PRC-026-2 – Relay Performance During Stable Power Swings

***This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority.***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Audit ID:** | Audit ID if available; or REG-NCRnnnnn-YYYYMMDD |
| **Registered Entity:** | Registered name of entity being audited |
| **NCR Number:** | NCRnnnnn |
| **Compliance Enforcement Authority:** | Region or NERC performing audit |
| **Compliance Assessment Date(s)[[2]](#footnote-2):** | Month DD, YYYY, to Month DD, YYYY |
| **Compliance Monitoring Method:** | [On-site Audit | Off-site Audit | Spot Check] |
| **Names of Auditors:** | Supplied by CEA |

# **Applicability of Requirements**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **BA** | **DP** | **GO** | **GOP** | **PC** | **RC** | **RP** | **RSG** | **TO** | **TOP** | **TP** | **TSP** |
| **R1** |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **R2** |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| **R3** |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| **R4** |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |

**Legend:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Text with blue background: | Fixed text – do not edit |
| Text entry area with green background: | Entity-supplied information |
| Text entry area with white background: | Auditor-supplied information |

Findings

**(This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority)**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Req.** | **Finding** | **Summary and Documentation** | **Functions Monitored** |
| **R1** |  |  |  |
| **R2** |  |  |  |
| **R3** |  |  |  |
| **R4** |  |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Req.** | **Areas of Concern** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Req.** | **Recommendations** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Req.** | **Positive Observations** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Subject Matter Experts

Identify the Subject Matter Expert(s) responsible for this Reliability Standard.

**Registered Entity Response (Required; Insert additional rows if needed):**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **SME Name** | **Title** | **Organization** | **Requirement(s)** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

R1 Supporting Evidence and Documentation

1. Each Planning Coordinator shall, at least once each calendar year, provide notification of each generator, transformer, and transmission line BES Element in its area that meets one or more of the following criteria, if any, to the respective Generator Owner and Transmission Owner:

Criteria:

1. Generator(s) where an angular stability constraint, identified in Planning Assessments of the Near-Term Transmission Planning Horizon for a planning event, that is addressed by limiting the output of a generator or a Remedial Action Scheme (RAS), and those Elements terminating at the Transmission station associated with the generator(s).
2. Elements associated with angular instability identified in Planning Assessments of the Near-Term Transmission Planning Horizon for a planning event.
3. An Element that forms the boundary of an island in the most recent underfrequency load shedding (UFLS) design assessment based on application of the Planning Coordinator’s criteria for identifying islands, only if the island is formed by tripping the Element due to angular instability.
4. An Element identified in the most recent annual Planning Assessment of the Near-Term Transmission Planning Horizon where relay tripping occurs due to a stable or unstable[[3]](#footnote-3) power swing during a simulated disturbance.
5. Each Planning Coordinator shall have dated evidence that demonstrates notification of the generator, transformer, and transmission line BES Element(s) that meet one or more of the criteria in Requirement R1, if any, to the respective Generator Owner and Transmission Owner. Evidence may include, but is not limited to, the following documentation: emails, facsimiles, records, reports, transmittals, lists, or spreadsheets.

**Registered Entity Response (Required):**

**Question:** Did the entity identify any BES Element(s) (i.e., generator, transformer, or transmission line) that met one or more of the criteria of Requirement R1, within its area?

Yes  No

If yes, provide a list of such Elements. If not, please explain how the entity made this determination.

**Note to Auditor:**

[Note: A separate spreadsheet or another document may be used. If so, provide the document reference below.]

**Registered Entity Response (Required):**

**Compliance Narrative:**

Provide a brief explanation, in your own words, of how you comply with this Requirement. References to supplied evidence, including links to the appropriate page, are recommended.

Evidence Requested[[4]](#endnote-1):

|  |
| --- |
| **Provide the following evidence, or other evidence to demonstrate compliance.** |
| Dated documentation demonstrating that the Planning Coordinator notified the respective Generator Owner or Transmission Owner each calendar year of the BES Element(s) that met one or more of the criteria in Requirement R1. |

Registered Entity Evidence (Required):

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **The following information is requested for each document submitted as evidence. Also, evidence submitted should be highlighted and bookmarked, as appropriate, to identify the exact location where evidence of compliance may be found.** | | | | | |
| **File Name** | **Document Title** | **Revision or Version** | **Document Date** | **Relevant Page(s) or Section(s)** | **Description of Applicability of Document** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Audit Team Evidence Reviewed (This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority):

|  |
| --- |
|  |
|  |
|  |

Compliance Assessment Approach Specific to PRC-026-2, R1

***This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | For all, or a sample, of BES Elements that met one or more of the criteria of Requirement R1, verify the entity notified the respective Generator Owner and Transmission Owner. |
| **Note to Auditor:** Auditors may use their professional judgment in selecting BES Elements for audit testing. In cases where an auditor expected an Element to meet one or more of the criteria of Requirement R1, but was not identified, the auditor should obtain an explanation why an Element was not identified. | |

Auditor Notes:

|  |
| --- |
|  |

R2 Supporting Evidence and Documentation

1. Each Generator Owner and Transmission Owner shall:
   1. Within 12 full calendar months of notification of a BES Element pursuant to Requirement R1, determine whether its load-responsive protective relay(s) applied to that BES Element meets the criteria in PRC-026-2 - Attachment B where an evaluation of that Element's load-responsive protective relay(s) based on PRC-026-2 - Attachment B criteria has not been performed in the last five calendar years.
   2. Within 12 full calendar months of becoming aware[[5]](#footnote-4) of a generator, transformer, or transmission line BES Element that tripped in response to a stable or unstable[[6]](#footnote-5) power swing due to the operation of its protective relay(s), determine whether its load-responsive protective relay(s) applied to that BES Element meets the criteria in PRC-026-2 - Attachment B.
2. Each Generator Owner and Transmission Owner shall have dated evidence that demonstrates the evaluation was performed according to Requirement R2. Evidence may include, but is not limited to, the following documentation: apparent impedance characteristic plots, email, design drawings, facsimiles, R-X plots, software output, records, reports, transmittals, lists, settings sheets, or spreadsheets.

**Registered Entity Response (Required):**

**Question:** Did the entity receive notification of any Element(s) according to Requirements R2, Part 2.1 (i.e., pursuant to Requirement R1) or identify any Element(s) according to Requirement R2, Part 2.2 (i.e., actual Element trips due to a stable or unstable power swing due to the operation of its protective relay(s))?

Yes  No

If yes, then provide a list of such Elements, including the date the entity received notification of the Element or identified the Element. If not, please explain how the entity made this determination.

**Note to Auditor:**

[Note: A separate spreadsheet or another document may be used. If so, provide the document reference below.]

**Registered Entity Response (Required):**

**Compliance Narrative:**

Provide a brief explanation, in your own words, of how you comply with this Requirement. References to supplied evidence, including links to the appropriate page, are recommended.

Evidence Requestedi:

|  |
| --- |
| **Provide the following evidence, or other evidence to demonstrate compliance.** |
| Dated documentation demonstrating the determination of whether the load-responsive protective relay(s) for each identified Element pursuant to Requirement R2, Parts 2.1 and 2.2 met the PRC-026-2 – Attachment B criteria. |

Registered Entity Evidence (Required):

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **The following information is requested for each document submitted as evidence. Also, evidence submitted should be highlighted and bookmarked, as appropriate, to identify the exact location where evidence of compliance may be found.** | | | | | |
| **File Name** | **Document Title** | **Revision or Version** | **Document Date** | **Relevant Page(s) or Section(s)** | **Description of Applicability of Document** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Audit Team Evidence Reviewed (This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority):

|  |
| --- |
|  |
|  |
|  |

Compliance Assessment Approach Specific to PRC-026-2, R2

***This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (2.1.) Verify the entity made a determination whether any load-responsive protective relays applied at the terminals of the Element met PRC-026-2 – Attachment B criteria, within 12 calendar months of receiving notification pursuant to Requirement R1, unless the entity had previously evaluated the load-responsive protective relay(s) according to the PRC-026-2 – Attachment B criteria within the last five calendar years. |
|  | (2.2) Verify the entity made a determination whether any load-responsive protective relays applied at the terminals of the Element met PRC-026-2 – Attachment B criteria, within 12 calendar months of becoming aware of a generator, transformer, or transmission line BES Element that tripped in response to a stable or unstable power swing due to the operation of its protective relay(s). |
| **Note to Auditor:**  The auditor should ensure that all load-responsive protective relays applied at the terminals of a selected BES Element have a determination of whether the load-responsive protective relay meets the PRC-026-2 – Attachment B criteria based on the entity’s evaluation. For example, if an entity owns load-responsive protective relays at both ends of a transmission line BES Element and only one end of the line tripped due to a stable or unstable power swing according to Requirement R2, Part 2.2, the entity must evaluate the load-responsive protective relays at each end of the identified BES Element (e.g., all terminals for which it owns). | |

Auditor Notes:

|  |
| --- |
|  |

R3 Supporting Evidence and Documentation

1. Each Generator Owner and Transmission Owner shall, within six full calendar months of determining a load-responsive protective relay does not meet the PRC-026-2 – Attachment B criteria pursuant to Requirement R2, develop a Corrective Action Plan (CAP) to meet one of the following:

* The Protection System meets the PRC-026-2 – Attachment B criteria, while maintaining dependable fault detection and dependable out-of-step tripping (if out-of-step tripping is applied at the terminal of the BES Element); or
* The Protection System is excluded under the PRC-026-2 – Attachment A criteria (e.g., modifying the Protection System so that relay functions are supervised by power swing blocking or using relay systems that are immune to power swings), while maintaining dependable fault detection and dependable out-of-step tripping (if out-of-step tripping is applied at the terminal of the BES Element).

1. The Generator Owner and Transmission Owner shall have dated evidence that demonstrates the development of a CAP in accordance with Requirement R3. Evidence may include, but is not limited to, the following documentation: corrective action plans, maintenance records, settings sheets, project or work management program records, or work orders.

**Registered Entity Response (Required):**

**Question:** Did the entity determine any load-responsive protective relays that did not meet the PRC-026-2 – Attachment B criteria?

Yes  No

If yes, provide a list of such load-responsive protective relays. If not, please explain how the entity made this determination.

**Note to Auditor:**

[Note: A separate spreadsheet or another document may be used. If so, provide the document reference below.]

**Registered Entity Response (Required):**

**Compliance Narrative:**

Provide a brief explanation, in your own words, of how you comply with this Requirement. References to supplied evidence, including links to the appropriate page, are recommended.

Evidence Requestedi:

|  |
| --- |
| **Provide the following evidence, or other evidence to demonstrate compliance.** |
| Dated documentation demonstrating the development of the CAP(s) and the CAP itself, if any, so that the Protection System(s) meets the PRC-026-2 – Attachment B criteria, while maintaining dependable fault detection and dependable out-of-step tripping (if out-of-step tripping is applied at the terminal of the BES Element); or |
| Dated documentation demonstrating that that the Protection System is excluded under the PRC-026-2 – Attachment A criteria (e.g., modifying the Protection System so that relay functions are supervised by power swing blocking or using relay systems that are immune to power swings), while maintaining dependable fault detection and dependable out-of-step tripping (if out-of-step tripping is applied at the terminal of the BES Element). |

Registered Entity Evidence (Required):

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **The following information is requested for each document submitted as evidence. Also, evidence submitted should be highlighted and bookmarked, as appropriate, to identify the exact location where evidence of compliance may be found.** | | | | | |
| **File Name** | **Document Title** | **Revision or Version** | **Document Date** | **Relevant Page(s) or Section(s)** | **Description of Applicability of Document** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Audit Team Evidence Reviewed (This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority):

|  |
| --- |
|  |
|  |
|  |

Compliance Assessment Approach Specific to PRC-026-2, R3

***This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Verify the entity developed a Corrective Action Plan to meet the PRC-026-2 – Attachment B criteria, while maintaining dependable fault detection and dependable out-of-step tripping (if out-of-step tripping is applied at the terminal of the BES Element), within six full calendar months of determining a load-responsive protective relay did not meet the PRC-026-2 – Attachment B criteria; or |
|  | Verify the entity developed a Corrective Action Plan to meet exclusion under the under the PRC-026-2 – Attachment A criteria (e.g., modifying the Protection System so that relay functions are supervised by power swing blocking or using relay systems that are immune to power swings), while maintaining dependable fault detection and dependable out-of-step tripping (if out-of-step tripping is applied at the terminal of the BES Element), within six full calendar months of determining a load-responsive protective relay did not meet the PRC-026-2 – Attachment B criteria. |
| **Note to Auditor:** | |

Auditor Notes:

|  |
| --- |
|  |

R4 Supporting Evidence and Documentation

1. Each Generator Owner and Transmission Owner shall implement each CAP developed pursuant to Requirement R3 and update each CAP if actions or timetables change until all actions are complete.
2. The Generator Owner and Transmission Owner shall have dated evidence that demonstrates implementation of each CAP according to Requirement R4, including updates to the CAP when actions or timetables change. Evidence may include, but is not limited to, the following documentation: corrective action plans, maintenance records, settings sheets, project or work management program records, or work orders.

**Registered Entity Response (Required):**

**Question:** Did the entity develop any CAPs pursuant to Requirement R3?

Yes  No

If yes, provide a list of such CAPs. If not, please explain how the entity made this determination.

**Note to Auditor:**

[Note: A separate spreadsheet or another document may be used. If so, provide the document reference below.]

**Registered Entity Response (Required):**

**Compliance Narrative:**

Provide a brief explanation, in your own words, of how you comply with this Requirement. References to supplied evidence, including links to the appropriate page, are recommended.

Evidence Requestedi:

|  |
| --- |
| **Provide the following evidence, or other evidence to demonstrate compliance.** |
| Dated documentation demonstrating the implementation of the CAP(s), if any, which were developed pursuant to Requirement R3. |

Registered Entity Evidence (Required):

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **The following information is requested for each document submitted as evidence. Also, evidence submitted should be highlighted and bookmarked, as appropriate, to identify the exact location where evidence of compliance may be found.** | | | | | |
| **File Name** | **Document Title** | **Revision or Version** | **Document Date** | **Relevant Page(s) or Section(s)** | **Description of Applicability of Document** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Audit Team Evidence Reviewed (This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority):

|  |
| --- |
|  |
|  |
|  |

Compliance Assessment Approach Specific to PRC-026-2, R4

***This section to be completed by the Compliance Enforcement Authority***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | For all, or a sample, of the CAP(s) developed pursuant to Requirement R3, verify the entity implemented the CAP. |
|  | For all, or a sample, of the CAP(s) developed pursuant to Requirement R3, verify the entity updated each CAP if actions or timetables change until all actions are complete. |
| **Note to Auditor:**  The auditor should be aware that a CAP may be considered complete if the problem for which the CAP anticipated resolving was remedied by some other action. For example, a system configuration change that allowed the Protection System to meet the PRC-026-2 – Attachment B criteria or that the Protection System was excluded under the PRC-026-2 – Attachment A criteria (e.g., modifying the Protection System so that relay functions are supervised by power swing blocking or using relay systems that are immune to power swings), while maintaining dependable fault detection and dependable out-of-step tripping (if out-of-step tripping is applied at the terminal of the BES Element. | |

Auditor Notes:

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Additional Information:

Reliability Standard



In addition to the Reliability Standard, there is background information available on the NERC Web Site.

Capitalized terms in the Reliability Standard refer to terms in the NERC Glossary, which may be found on the NERC Web Site.

Regulatory Language

In the United States, Reliability Standard PRC-026-2 was approved in a letter order issued by FERC on March 4, 2022 in Docket No. RD22-2-000.

Revision History for RSAW

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Version** | **Date** | **Reviewers** | **Revision Description** |
| 1 | 03/27/2024 | RSAW Task Force, OPCTF | New document |

1. NERC developed this Reliability Standard Audit Worksheet (RSAW) language in order to facilitate NERC’s and the Regional Entities’ assessment of a registered entity’s compliance with this Reliability Standard. The NERC RSAW language is written to specific versions of each NERC Reliability Standard. Entities using this RSAW should choose the version of the RSAW applicable to the Reliability Standard being assessed. While the information included in this RSAW provides some of the methodology that NERC has elected to use to assess compliance with the requirements of the Reliability Standard, this document should not be treated as a substitute for the Reliability Standard or viewed as additional Reliability Standard requirements. In all cases, the Regional Entity should rely on the language contained in the Reliability Standard itself, and not on the language contained in this RSAW, to determine compliance with the Reliability Standard. NERC’s Reliability Standards can be found on NERC’s website. Additionally, NERC Reliability Standards are updated frequently, and this RSAW may not necessarily be updated with the same frequency. Therefore, it is imperative that entities treat this RSAW as a reference document only, and not as a substitute or replacement for the Reliability Standard. It is the responsibility of the registered entity to verify its compliance with the latest approved version of the Reliability Standards, by the applicable governmental authority, relevant to its registration status.

   The NERC RSAW language contained within this document provides a non‑exclusive list, for informational purposes only, of examples of the types of evidence a registered entity may produce or may be asked to produce to demonstrate compliance with the Reliability Standard. A registered entity’s adherence to the examples contained within this RSAW does not necessarily constitute compliance with the applicable Reliability Standard, and NERC and the Regional Entity using this RSAW reserves the right to request additional evidence from the registered entity that is not included in this RSAW. Additionally, this RSAW includes excerpts from FERC Orders and other regulatory references. The FERC Order cites are provided for ease of reference only, and this document does not necessarily include all applicable Order provisions. In the event of a discrepancy between FERC Orders, and the language included in this document, FERC Orders shall prevail. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Compliance Assessment Date(s): The date(s) the actual compliance assessment (on-site audit, off-site spot check, etc.) occurs. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. An example of an unstable power swing is provided in the Guidelines and Technical Basis section, “Justification for Including Unstable Power Swings in the Requirements section of the Guidelines and Technical Basis.” [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. Items in the Evidence Requested section are suggested evidence that may, but will not necessarily, demonstrate compliance. These items are not mandatory and other forms and types of evidence may be submitted at the entity’s discretion. [↑](#endnote-ref-1)
5. Some examples of the ways an entity may become aware of a power swing are provided in the Guidelines and Technical Basis section, “Becoming Aware of an Element That Tripped in Response to a Power Swing.” [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
6. An example of an unstable power swing is provided in the Guidelines and Technical Basis section, “Justification for Including Unstable Power Swings in the Requirements section of the Guidelines and Technical Basis.” [↑](#footnote-ref-5)